REVIEW ARTICLE

Humanistic studies and Social Researches. 2025;1(1): 4
https://doi.org/10.22034/hssr.2025.217285

The Effectiveness of Teaching Self-Regulated Learning and Metacognitive Strategies on Academic Procrastination, Psychological Well-Being, Self-Efficacy, and Academic Satisfaction Among Medical Students: A Review of Recent Research

Masoumeh Ardeshiri Lajimi[1], Mehran Frouzanian[2], Amirsaleh Abdollahi[2]

[1]*Department of Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Mazandaran, Iran*
[2]*Student Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Mazandaran, Iran*

Article history:

Received: 12 Aug 2024
Accepted: 12 Feb 2024
Available online: 22 Feb 2025

Keywords:

Academic Procrastination
Self-Regulated Learning
Metacognitive Strategies
Psychological Well-Being
Academic Self-Efficacy
Medical Students
Academic Satisfaction

Abstract

Academic procrastination is a prevalent issue among university students, particularly those in high-stress programs like medical studies. This behavior is often associated with negative consequences such as lower academic performance, heightened stress, and a decline in psychological well-being. One promising solution to this problem involves teaching self-regulated learning (SRL) strategies, with a focus on metacognition, which encourages students to monitor, evaluate, and adjust their learning processes. This review synthesizes the body of research on the effectiveness of SRL and metacognitive strategies in reducing academic procrastination and enhancing key outcomes, including psychological well-being, academic self-efficacy, and overall academic satisfaction, particularly among medical students. The review finds that SRL and metacognitive interventions significantly improve both academic performance and mental health by helping students better manage their time, reduce procrastination, and increase motivation. By promoting greater awareness and control over their learning habits, students can overcome procrastination tendencies, leading to improved academic outcomes and greater satisfaction in their studies. The findings of this review suggest that SRL and metacognitive approaches are valuable tools in addressing procrastination and fostering more successful, well-rounded students, particularly in demanding academic environments such as medical education.

Cite this article as: Ardeshiri Lajimi M, Frouzanian M , Abdollahi A. Global The Effectiveness of Teaching Self-Regulated Learning and Metacognitive Strategies on Academic Procrastination, Psychological Well-Being, Self-Efficacy, and Academic Satisfaction Among Medical Students: A Review of Recent Research. Humanist Stud Soc Res. 2025;1(1):4. https://doi.org/10.22034/hssr.2025.217285.

Introduction:

Procrastination, particularly academic procrastination, is a pervasive issue among students that significantly affects their academic success and mental well-being. Students in high-stakes programs, such as medical schools, often experience increased stress and anxiety, which can further contribute to procrastination behaviors. Procrastination is commonly associated with poor time management, inadequate self-regulation, and negative emotional states, which can

Correspondence:
Mehran Frouzanian
Student Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Mazandaran, Iran
E-mail: frouzanian@gmail.com

hinder academic achievement and decrease overall satisfaction with education.

Self-regulated learning (SRL), a process in which students set goals, monitor their progress, and reflect on their cognitive strategies, has been proposed as an effective means to combat procrastination. In particular, metacognition—the awareness and regulation of one's thinking processes—plays a central role in SRL, helping students to better manage their learning behaviors and emotions. This review examines the impact of SRL and metacognitive strategies on academic procrastination and their broader effects on psychological well-being, self-efficacy, and academic satisfaction among medical students.

Literature Review

The Nature and Consequences of Academic Procrastination

Academic procrastination is a tendency to delay academic tasks, despite knowing that procrastination can lead to negative outcomes, such as poor grades, high stress, and feelings of guilt. It is a complex phenomenon influenced by various cognitive, emotional, and motivational factors. Studies have shown that procrastination is particularly prevalent in university settings, with medical students being especially vulnerable due to the demanding nature of their curriculum (1)

Procrastination has been linked to several negative psychological outcomes, including anxiety, depression, and lower self-esteem(2) Furthermore, procrastination tends to undermine academic performance, as students often leave tasks until the last minute, leading to lower-quality work and missed opportunities for learning.

Self-Regulated Learning (SRL) and Metacognition

Self-regulated learning involves a proactive approach to learning, where students take responsibility for their academic processes by setting goals, monitoring their progress, and adapting strategies as needed. Key components of SRL include goal-setting, time management, self-reflection, and self-monitoring (3)

Metacognition, which refers to the awareness and regulation of one's cognitive processes, is an essential element of SRL. Metacognitive strategies enable students to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning, improving their ability to manage tasks effectively and regulate emotions during the learning process (4)Metacognitive strategies such as self-questioning, summarization, and reflecting on one's thought patterns have been shown to improve academic outcomes and reduce procrastination (5)

SRL and Metacognitive Interventions for Procrastination

Numerous studies have explored the effectiveness of SRL and metacognitive interventions in reducing academic procrastination. These interventions focus on teaching students how to set clear goals, manage their time efficiently, and regulate their emotional responses to academic tasks. For example, a study by Wolters (2003) found that students who engaged in SRL strategies reported significantly lower levels of procrastination and better academic performance.(6)

Similarly, metacognitive interventions have been shown to improve distress tolerance and psychological well-being by helping students become more aware of and control their emotional reactions to academic stress. These interventions encourage students to reflect on their thinking processes and adjust their strategies to overcome procrastination (7)

Methodology of the Review

The methodology for this review involved a systematic search of peer-reviewed articles published in academic journals on the topic of self-regulated learning, metacognition, and academic procrastination. The following steps were undertaken:

1. Search Strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted using databases such as PubMed, ERIC, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar. Keywords used in the search included "self-regulated learning," "metacognitive strategies," "academic procrastination," "psychological well-being," "academic self-efficacy," and "medical students."

2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they:

- Focused on university students (preferably medical students) and their experiences with procrastination.
- Investigated interventions related to self-regulated learning and metacognitive strategies.
- Measured psychological outcomes such as distress tolerance, well-being, self-efficacy, or academic satisfaction.

Studies were excluded if they:

- Focused on populations outside of university or medical students.
- Did not include an intervention or treatment related to SRL or metacognition.
- Had methodological issues (e.g., lack of control groups or proper measures).

3.Data Extraction and Synthesis

From the selected studies, relevant data was extracted regarding the design of interventions, the

measures used to assess procrastination and psychological outcomes, and the results of the interventions. A thematic synthesis approach was used to organize the findings and identify common trends.

Results

1. Reduction in Academic Procrastination

Interventions focusing on SRL and metacognitive strategies were consistently associated with a reduction in academic procrastination. Students in the experimental groups, who received training in SRL techniques (e.g., goal setting, time management, self-reflection), reported significantly lower levels of procrastination compared to control groups (8)

2. Improvement in Psychological Well-Being

SRL and metacognitive interventions were also linked to improvements in psychological well-being. Students who engaged in these interventions demonstrated greater emotional regulation, reduced anxiety, and increased resilience when facing academic challenges (9)

3. Increased Academic Self-Efficacy

Students in the intervention groups showed significant improvements in academic self-efficacy. By learning to manage their learning processes more effectively, students developed greater confidence in their ability to complete academic tasks and succeed in their studies (6)

4. Higher Academic Satisfaction

Students who participated in SRL and metacognitive training reported greater academic satisfaction. The interventions helped students feel more in control of their academic experiences, leading to higher motivation and greater enjoyment of their studies (10)

5. Role of Questionnaires in Assessing Procrastination and Related Psychological Constructs

In the context of academic procrastination, several validated psychological tools have been widely used to assess procrastination behaviors, emotional responses, and underlying cognitive mechanisms such as distress tolerance, self-efficacy, and academic satisfaction. These tools not only provide robust data on procrastination tendencies but also offer valuable insights into how different psychological variables interact to influence academic performance. The Solomon and Rothblum Academic Procrastination Scale (1984), Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS), Academic Self-Efficacy Scale (CASES), and Academic Satisfaction Questionnaire have all been instrumental in advancing our understanding of procrastination in academic settings.(11)

Solomon and Rothblum Academic Procrastination Scale (1984)(11)

The Solomon and Rothblum Academic Procrastination Scale (1984) is perhaps one of the most widely recognized tools for measuring academic procrastination. Its psychometric properties have been validated across multiple cultures and academic settings. In particular, this scale categorizes procrastination into distinct academic tasks, such as exam preparation, assignment completion, and paper writing. This segmentation allows researchers to assess procrastination tendencies in specific contexts, providing a nuanced view of how procrastination manifests in different academic activities Furthermore, its multidimensional approach—measuring not only procrastination behaviors but also the emotional responses associated with procrastination—has been pivotal in exploring the psychological dimensions of procrastination.Recent studies have demonstrated that the scale's ability to measure procrastination across different tasks makes it a reliable diagnostic tool for identifying high-risk students who may require intervention. For instance, a study by Mohammadi applied this scale in an Iranian context, where it showed strong internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.82), further establishing its robustness. The scale's ability to identify students with higher levels of academic procrastination allows for targeted interventions that can address specific procrastination behaviors, such as time management issues or emotional avoidance.(12)

The link between internet addiction and academic procrastination has also been well-documented, and several studies have used specific questionnaires to explore this relationship. The Internet Addiction Questionnaire measures the degree to which excessive internet use interferes with academic tasks and procrastination behaviors. Excessive internet use is often a significant source of distraction for students, leading to delays in academic tasks and an increase in procrastination tendencies (13)Research has shown that students who score high on internet addiction scales are more likely to exhibit procrastination behaviors, suggesting that internet-related distractions are a contributing factor to academic delay (14)

In the study by Solomon et al. (2015), the Internet Addiction Questionnaire was instrumental in distinguishing between students who procrastinated due to academic difficulties and those whose procrastination was exacerbated by excessive internet use. By integrating this tool with the Solomon and Rothblum Procrastination Scale, the researchers were able to identify and group students based on both their procrastination tendencies and their level of internet addiction. This dual assessment approach allowed for a

clearer understanding of how digital distractions contribute to procrastination and provided a foundation for interventions aimed at reducing both procrastination and internet addiction.

Another critical psychological factor linked to academic procrastination is distress tolerance, which refers to the ability to endure and cope with negative emotional states. The Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS),(15) is a widely used measure of how individuals cope with emotional distress. Research has shown that students with low distress tolerance are more likely to procrastinate, as they tend to avoid tasks that evoke anxiety or discomfort. The DTS assesses several dimensions of distress tolerance, including tolerance, absorption in negative emotions, and emotional regulation, making it an essential tool for understanding the emotional underpinnings of procrastination behaviors ,Studies have demonstrated that students with low distress tolerance are more prone to procrastination, especially when faced with academic tasks that are perceived as stressful or anxiety-provoking. The ability to regulate negative emotions, as measured by the DTS, is crucial for reducing procrastination and improving task initiation (16)). Therefore, the DTS plays a key role in identifying students who may benefit from emotional regulation interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral strategies or mindfulness-based approaches, aimed at improving distress tolerance and reducing procrastination.

Academic self-efficacy, which refers to students' beliefs in their ability to succeed in academic tasks, has long been recognized as a key predictor of academic procrastination. The Academic Self-Efficacy Scale (CASES) is commonly used to measure students' confidence in their ability to perform academic behaviors such as note-taking, participating in class discussions, and completing assignments. A wealth of research has shown that students with lower self-efficacy are more likely to procrastinate, as they doubt their ability to complete tasks successfully and fear failure (17)The CASES questionnaire is especially valuable for identifying students who may struggle with procrastination due to a lack of confidence in their academic abilities. Studies have shown that students with low self-efficacy tend to avoid academic tasks or delay them, which ultimately leads to poorer academic performance. By measuring academic self-efficacy, the CASES questionnaire provides critical information that can guide interventions aimed at boosting students' confidence and reducing procrastination. Interventions focused on enhancing self-efficacy, such as mastery experiences and positive feedback, have been shown to be effective in decreasing procrastination behaviors

Finally, academic satisfaction—the degree to which students feel fulfilled and motivated in their academic environment—has also been shown to influence procrastination behaviors. The Academic Satisfaction Questionnaire, developed to assess students' contentment with their educational experience, provides valuable insights into the motivational aspects of procrastination. Research suggests that students who are dissatisfied with their academic experience are more likely to procrastinate, as they may feel disengaged or unmotivated to complete academic tasks (18)

By measuring various dimensions of academic satisfaction, including relationships with peers and instructors, as well as the perceived relevance of coursework, the Academic Satisfaction Questionnaire can help identify students who may be struggling with motivation and engagement. This tool is essential for understanding how satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the academic environment can contribute to procrastination, and it can inform strategies aimed at improving students' academic experiences and reducing procrastination.

Discussion

A Shahbazian et.al study explored the relationship between academic procrastination and internet addiction among university students. Using a causal-comparative research design, the authors investigated students from universities in Azerbaijan, selecting a sample of 200 dormitory students through multi-stage cluster sampling. The study utilized Solomon and Rothblum's procrastination scale and an internet addiction questionnaire to collect data.

The results revealed two distinct clusters of students: one group with high levels of academic procrastination and internet addiction, and another with lower levels of procrastination and internet addiction. The discriminant analysis indicated that 77.9% of students with academic procrastination were correctly identified, and 61.5% of students without procrastination were accurately classified. The study concluded that both academic procrastination and internet addiction are significant factors influencing student behavior, highlighting the need for proper education and time management strategies to mitigate these issues.(19)

The evidence reviewed suggests that SRL and metacognitive strategies are effective in reducing academic procrastination and improving psychological outcomes such as distress tolerance, well-being, and academic self-efficacy. By fostering greater awareness and control over their learning processes, students are better equipped to manage the emotional and cognitive challenges associated with procrastination. These strategies appear to be particularly beneficial in high-pressure academic environments, such as medical

schools, where students face significant demands and stress.(20)

The improvements in academic satisfaction and self-efficacy indicate that SRL interventions not only help students manage procrastination but also enhance their overall academic experience, leading to greater engagement and motivation.

Conclusion

the use of validated questionnaires such as the Solomon and Rothblum Academic Procrastination Scale, the Internet Addiction Questionnaire, the Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS), the Academic Self-Efficacy Scale (CASES), and the Academic Satisfaction Questionnaire has been invaluable in enhancing our understanding of the multifaceted nature of academic procrastination. These tools provide a comprehensive means of assessing procrastination behaviors, emotional responses, cognitive processes, and motivational factors that contribute to academic delay. By employing these measures, researchers and educators can gain deeper insights into the psychological dynamics that underlie procrastination, ultimately paving the way for more effective interventions and strategies to improve academic performance and well-being among students.

This review highlights the effectiveness of self-regulated learning and metacognitive strategies in addressing academic procrastination and enhancing psychological well-being, academic self-efficacy, and academic satisfaction among medical students. These strategies are promising tools for improving students' academic outcomes and mental health. Future research should explore the long-term effects of these interventions and investigate how they can be adapted to meet the needs of different student populations.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all individuals and organizations who contributed to this review. We also appreciate the faculty and staff of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences for their continuous support.

Funding

This study received no funding.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Ethical Approval

The research adheres to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Availability Statement

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

1. Abbasi I, Alghamdi N. The Prevalence, Predictors, Causes, Treatment, and Implications of Procrastination Behaviors in General, Academic, and Work Setting. International Journal of Psychological Studies. 2024;7:59-
2. Steel P. The nature of procrastination: a meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. Psychol Bull 133: 65-94. Psychological bulletin. 2007;133:65-94.
3. Bloom M. Self-regulated learning: Goal setting and self-monitoring. The Language Teacher. 2013;37:4
4. Zhang D, Zhang LJ. Metacognition and Self-Regulated Learning (SRL) in Second/Foreign Language Teaching. 2019.
5. Ajayi J. Metacognitive Strategies in the Classroom. 2024.
6. Wolters C. Understanding Procrastination From a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. Journal of Educational Psychology. 2003;95:179-87.
7. Edwards S, Martin J, Shaffer C. Examining Classroom Interventions to Reduce Procrastination2015. 254-9 p.
8. Ghadampour E, Beiranvand K. Effect of cognitive and metacognitive learning strategies training on academic procrastination and self efficacy in students. Advances in Cognitive Science. 2019;21:31-41.
9. Rodríguez S, González- Suárez R, Vieites T, Piñeiro I, Díaz-Freire FM. Self-Regulation and Students Well-Being: A Systematic Revie. Sustainability. 2022;14.
10. Kirby JR, Merchant S. Assessment for learning and self-regulation. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education 2020.
11. Solomon LJ, Rothblum ED. Academic procrastination: frequency and cognitive-behavioral correlates .Journal of counseling psychology. 1984;31(4):503.

12. Mohammadi bytamar J, Saed O, Khakpoor S. Emotion Regulation Difficulties and Academic Procrastination. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020;11.

13. Gupta S, Kumar S. Influence of Internet Addiction and Academic Procrastination on Academic Achievement of Secondary & Senior Secondary School Students. International Journal of Indian Psychology. 2024;12:1293-308.

14. Nadarajan S, Hengudomsub P, Wacharasin C. The role of academic procrastination on Internet addiction among Thai university students: A cross-sectional study. Belitung nursing journal. 2023;9(4):384-90.

15. Leyro TM, Zvolensky MJ, Bernstein A. Distress tolerance and psychopathological symptoms and disorders: a review of the empirical literature among adults. Psychol Bull. 2010;136(4):576-600.

16. Sirois F, Giguère B. Giving in when feeling less good: Procrastination, action control, and social temptations. British Journal of Social Psychology. 2018;57.

17. Hen M, Goroshit M. Academic Self-Efficacy, Emotional Intelligence, GPA and Academic Procrastination in Higher Education. EURASIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES. 2014;2:1-10.

18. Bhat S, Jan K. Examining Academic Procrastination among College Students in Relation to Academic Satisfaction: A Correlational Study. Educational Research Review. 2023;8:12-20.

19. Shahbazian A, Bahadorikhosroshahi J. Discrimination between normal and educational procrastination students based on Internet addiction. Daneshvar Medicine. 2020;25(4):1-10.

20. Park SW, Sperling R .Academic Procrastinators and Their Self-Regulation. Psychology. 2012;03:12-23.