

# The Digital Forge: An In-Depth Analysis of How Social Media Shapes Adolescent Identity and Self-Worth

Zamdar H Rasul Karim 

*Department of Clinical Sciences, Sulaymaniya University College of Medicine, Iraq.*

## Abstract

### Article history:

Received: 21 Sep 2025  
Accepted: 3 Dec 2025  
Available online: 10 Dec 2025

### Keywords:

Social Media  
Adolescence  
Identity Development  
Self-Concept  
Self-Esteem  
Digital Well-being  
Social Comparison

**Background:** The widespread adoption of social networking sites (SNSs) into the daily lives of teenagers marks a major sociotechnological shift of this century. As young people grow up immersed in digital technology, their journeys of self-discovery and self-evaluation increasingly occur within online spaces shaped by algorithms, rather than solely in face-to-face settings. This change requires a close look at how these platforms both influence and complicate core aspects of psychological and social development.

**Objective:** This narrative review brings together recent research (2015–2025) to examine the complex, and often opposing, effects of SNSs on how adolescents form their identity and develop self-esteem. It seeks to provide a detailed understanding of the processes, influencing factors, and two-way dynamics at play, moving beyond oversimplified cause-and-effect explanations.

**Methods:** A systematic search was performed across PubMed, PsycINFO, and Scopus databases for peer-reviewed articles published between 2015 and 2025. Key search terms included: "social media," "adolescent," "teen," "youth," "identity," "self-concept," "self-esteem," "social comparison," and "digital identity." The review included empirical studies (cross-sectional, longitudinal, experimental), systematic reviews, and important theoretical papers. Sources were selected based on relevance and methodological quality.

**Results:** Research reveals a dual role for SNSs, acting as both a catalyst and an obstacle to development. These platforms enable identity exploration through trying out different self-presentations and finding specialized communities, but they also risk fragmenting identity due to pressures to perform and seek external approval. Similarly, SNSs can strengthen self-esteem by providing social support and opportunities to showcase skills, yet they can also systematically weaken it by encouraging constant social comparison and reliance on quantified feedback (likes, followers). The ultimate impact depends heavily on individual differences, how platforms are used, specific platform designs, and the quality of a teenager's offline relationships.

**Discussion:** The review frames SNSs as active "developmental environments" that shape how adolescents socialize, rather than seeing them as neutral tools. Future research should use methods like ecological momentary assessment to capture real-time experiences and investigate the role of content algorithms. Practical steps should focus not just on reducing screen time, but on building critical digital literacy skills and pushing for platform designs that are ethically informed by developmental psychology.

**Cite this article as:** Rasul Karim ZH. The Digital Forge: An In-Depth Analysis of How Social Media Shapes Adolescent Identity and Self-Worth. *Humanist Stud Soc Res.* 2026;2(1):2. <https://doi.org/10.22034/hssr.2025.236487>.

## Introduction

The digital world has fundamentally reshaped the social environment of adolescence, creating new

opportunities alongside new developmental risks. Social networking sites (SNSs)—including platforms like Instagram, TikTok, and Snapchat—have evolved from

### Correspondence:

Zamdar H Rasul Karim  
E-mail: [zamdar.karim@univsul.edu.iq](mailto:zamdar.karim@univsul.edu.iq)



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes from the material, as long as the author of the original work is cited properly.

simple communication tools into central spaces where teens construct their identity and gauge their social standing. This deep digital engagement happens during a period of significant brain development and social transition, where forming a stable identity and a positive sense of self are crucial developmental goals [1,2]. Traditionally nurtured within close physical settings like family and school, these processes are now increasingly filtered through the curated, public, and metric-focused interfaces of online platforms [3].

This technological shift coincides with worrying public health trends. International studies note a correlation between the rise of visually-driven, algorithmically-curated SNS use and increased reports of anxiety, depressive symptoms, body image issues, and loneliness among adolescents, especially girls [4,5]. However, a one-sided view that labels all digital engagement as harmful ignores the reality that many teens gain real benefits from these spaces, including crucial social support, validation, and access to information [6,7]. This contradiction shows that simple "good vs. bad" frameworks are inadequate, calling for a more nuanced, mechanism-focused understanding.

The main goal of this review is to synthesize current research to analyze the dual-impact model of SNSs on teen identity and self-esteem. We propose that these platforms function as modern "psychosocial moratoriums"—spaces for identity experimentation, as theorized by Erik Erikson—but with key differences: they are public, permanent, measurable, and designed for commercial engagement [8]. We will explore how core platform features (e.g., profiles, feeds, metrics, algorithms) interact with adolescent developmental needs (e.g., for peer connection, autonomy, self-definition) to create unique opportunities and risks. Specifically, we examine the tension between identity exploration and performative fragmentation, and between social validation and harmful social comparison. By combining recent insights from neuroscience and sociotechnical analysis, this review aims to provide clinicians, educators, researchers, and policymakers with an evidence-based framework for understanding and supporting adolescent development in the digital age, acknowledging that online and offline identities are increasingly intertwined.

## Methods

This article is a critical narrative review intended to synthesize and interpret a wide range of interdisciplinary literature. To ensure thorough and up-to-date coverage, a systematic search strategy was used across three major academic databases: PubMed, PsycINFO, and Scopus. Searches were limited to English-language articles published between January 2015 and

April 2025, covering the period of most rapid platform evolution and research advancement in this field.

The search used Boolean operators with key terms and their variations: ("social media" OR "social networking site" OR "Instagram" OR "TikTok" OR "Snapchat") AND ("adolescen" OR "teen\*" OR "youth" OR "young people") AND ("identity" OR "self-concept" OR "self-esteem" OR "self-worth" OR "self-evaluation") AND ("impact" OR "effect" OR "influence" OR "association"). Additional searches included related concepts: "social comparison theory," "digital identity," "body image," "fear of missing out (FoMO)," "problematic social media use," and "algorithmic curation."

Inclusion criteria were: (1) empirical studies (cross-sectional, longitudinal, diary/experience sampling, experimental) focusing mainly on adolescent samples (ages 10-19) or emerging adults (up to 25) with clear relevance to adolescent development; (2) systematic reviews and meta-analyses on SNS use and psychosocial outcomes; (3) key theoretical papers offering frameworks for understanding digital identity development. Exclusion criteria were: studies focused only on adults (>25), non-peer-reviewed commentaries, studies of general internet or gaming use without specific SNS analysis, and articles not available in full text.

Titles and abstracts were screened for relevance. The full text of potentially relevant articles was then assessed for methodological quality and contribution to the review's themes. The final reference list was compiled based on the representativeness of key findings, methodological rigor (prioritizing longitudinal and experimental designs), and contribution to building a coherent story about mechanisms and moderators. The synthesis is organized by theme, not chronology.

## Results

### *Identity Formation: The Curated and Measured Self*

SNSs have turned identity development from a largely private, reflective process into a public, interactive performance. The profile acts as a digital "identity canvas" where teens engage in selective self-presentation, balancing authenticity with social appeal [9].

**Positive Pathways:** For marginalized youth, SNSs can be vital. LGBTQ+ adolescents use platforms to explore their identity, find resources, and connect with supportive communities often unavailable offline, a process linked to reduced isolation and stronger identity commitment [10,11]. Additionally, the storytelling function of SNSs—through curated photos, updates, and bios—supports reflexive self-construction, allowing teens to actively write and revise their personal

stories over time [12]. The ability to explore niche interests within global communities fosters belonging and self-definition that may be lacking locally [13].

**Negative Pathways:** The design of most mainstream SNSs rewards performative perfection over authentic complexity. The constant pressure to maintain a curated, attractive, and socially-approved persona can lead to identity fragmentation—a gap between the online "ideal self" and the offline "actual self," worsening role confusion [14,15]. This is intensified by "context collapse," where different social groups (family, friends, strangers) all see the same profile, forcing a simplified presentation that may not feel true to any setting [16]. Most concerning, identity becomes externalized and quantified through public metrics (follower counts, likes). This can lead teens to equate their self-worth with these fluctuating, often manipulated numbers, fostering a fragile and contingent self-concept [17].

### **Self-Esteem: The Economy of Validation and Comparison**

Self-esteem on SNSs operates within what researchers call a "feedback economy," where social approval is both the currency and the product [18].

**Boosting Mechanisms:** Perceived social support from positive interactions (likes, supportive comments) can provide immediate lifts to self-esteem and reinforce a sense of social belonging, a core psychological need [19]. Strategic self-presentation, where teens intentionally showcase skills, achievements, or creativity, allows for controlled sharing that can earn validating feedback and enhance feelings of competence [20]. Involvement in prosocial or activist causes online can foster purpose and collective efficacy, contributing to more stable, internally-grounded self-worth [21].

**Lowering Mechanisms:** The strongest and most documented negative pathway is through upward social comparison. Passively scrolling through algorithmically-sorted feeds filled with peers' and influencers' highlight reels—showing idealized looks, enviable experiences, and apparent social success—sets a pervasive standard against which teens negatively judge their own lives [22,23]. This strongly predicts increased body dissatisfaction, appearance anxiety, and depressive symptoms, with meta-analyses showing a stronger effect for girls and on visually-focused platforms [24,25]. Fear of Missing Out (FoMO), the anxious feeling that others are having rewarding experiences you're not part of, is both fueled by and fuels constant SNS checking, creating cycles of anxiety, envy, and lower self-esteem [26]. Direct negative experiences like cybervictimization have severe and potentially lasting effects on self-esteem, with victims often internalizing the harassment [27]. Finally, platform

design encourages feedback-seeking behavior, creating a psychological loop where self-worth becomes dependent on the next "like," leading to anxiety and unstable self-regard [28].

### **Key Factors That Influence Impact**

The impact of SNSs is not uniform but depends on a mix of individual and contextual factors:

- **Patterns of Use (Active vs. Passive):** Active, communicative use (direct messaging, creating content with others, meaningful interaction) is generally linked to stable or slightly improved well-being, likely due to gains in social connection. In contrast, passive, consumptive use (mindless scrolling, "lurking" without interacting) is consistently and strongly associated with upward social comparison, envy, and lower self-esteem [29,30].
- **Platform Characteristics:** Highly visual, public, and metric-focused platforms (Instagram, TikTok) carry greater documented risks for body image and self-evaluation issues than more private, temporary, or text/interest-based platforms (e.g., some uses of Snapchat, Discord) [31,32].
- **Individual Vulnerabilities:** Teens with pre-existing psychological vulnerabilities—such as low baseline self-esteem, high neuroticism, social anxiety, or attachment insecurity—are more likely to use SNSs in maladaptive ways and experience stronger negative effects, illustrating a "poor-get-poorer" dynamic [33,34].
- **Developmental Stage:** Younger adolescents (10-13) with their heightened sensitivity to peer opinion and still-developing self-control may be especially susceptible to social feedback and comparison. Older teens may use SNSs for more complex identity work and relationship building [35].
- **Offline Social Context:** Strong, supportive offline relationships with family and friends, along with open family communication about online life, serve as powerful protective factors against negative SNS impacts [36].

## **Discussion**

This synthesis confirms that SNSs represent a transformative developmental context, not just a communication channel. They are integral to the modern teen experience, reshaping how young people answer "Who am I?" and "What am I worth?" The evidence points to a transactional, ecological relationship: teens influence their digital environments through their choices, and these environments, in turn, shape their self-view and behaviors through their designed features and algorithmic curation [37,38].

A key conceptual insight is recognizing the commercial exploitation of developmental vulnerabilities. Platform business models that rely on user engagement often take advantage of fundamental teen needs for social connection and self-evaluation. Features like infinite scroll, notifications, and visibility metrics are engineered to maximize time spent on-site, often by triggering social comparison and feedback loops that can harm psychological well-being [39]. This creates a fundamental conflict between adolescent developmental health and platform profit motives.

The review also underscores the integration of online and offline selves. The split between "digital" and "real" identity is increasingly artificial; for digital natives, online interactions are genuine social experiences, and online self-presentation is part of their overall identity [40]. Distress from online experiences is real, and support found online can be authentic. This requires a holistic approach to assessing and supporting teens.

Future Research Directions must address several critical gaps:

**1. Methodological Evolution:** Over-reliance on cross-sectional self-report data limits causal understanding. Future studies should prioritize longitudinal ecological momentary assessment (EMA) designs that track within-person changes in SNS use, mood, and self-evaluation in real time [41].

**2. The Algorithmic Black Box:** Research must go beyond user behavior to examine the curatorial power of algorithms. How do content-sorting algorithms shape the "social reality" teens see, and what are the psychological effects of being trapped in certain content loops? [42]

**3. Neurodevelopmental Intersections:** Emerging brain imaging (fMRI) research suggests SNS feedback activates reward centers similarly to other social rewards. More study is needed on how adolescent brain sensitivity to social feedback interacts with SNS use patterns [43].

**4. Global and Cultural Contexts:** Most research comes from Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic (WEIRD) populations. Culturally specific research is urgently needed to understand how SNS impacts vary across different societal norms and levels of digital access [44].

#### **Practical Implications for Stakeholders:**

- **For Parents, Educators, and Clinicians:** The goal should shift from monitoring and restriction to mentoring and literacy development. This involves:
  - Co-viewing and critically discussing SNS content to unpack idealized portrayals and algorithmic manipulation.

- Encouraging mindful use habits, promoting intentional, active engagement over passive scrolling.

Modeling healthy digital boundaries and balanced self-presentation.

- Including SNS use in psychosocial assessments to understand its personal meaning and impact for each teen.
- **For Policymakers and Platform Designers:** Ethical by Design principles should be required, especially for features used by minors. Evidence-based regulations, like the UK's Age-Appropriate Design Code, provide a model [45]. Key actions include:
  - Setting the highest privacy settings by default for users under 18.
  - Limiting or removing engagement-maximizing features like infinite scroll and autoplay.
  - Reducing the prominence of public metrics (e.g., hiding like counts).
  - Providing clear, user-controlled options for algorithmic feeds and data collection.
  - Funding independent research on platform impacts and designing features based on developmental science, not just engagement metrics.

## **Conclusion**

Adolescent identity and self-esteem are now shaped in the digital forge of social networks—a space of great potential for self-discovery and connection, yet also filled with pressures to perform, compare, and seek quantified validation. This review shows the impact is profoundly dualistic, shaped by a complex mix of platform design, user psychology, and social context. Successfully navigating this landscape requires moving beyond alarmist or dismissive generalizations toward nuanced understanding and proactive support. The societal challenge is to harness the connective and exploratory power of these technologies while reducing their inherent risks through thoughtful education, supportive relationships, and ethical design. Ultimately, supporting adolescents in the digital age means helping them build a resilient, integrated sense of self that can withstand the curated perfection of the feed and find value beyond the metrics of the like.

### **Acknowledgment**

The authors would like to express their appreciation to all those who helped us conduct this research.

### **Funding**

None

### **Authors Contributions**

The authors contributed to the data analysis. Drafting, revising and approving the article, responsible for all aspects of this work.

### **Conflict of Interest**

None

## References

1. Blakemore SJ. Adolescence and mental health. *Lancet*. 2019 May 11;393(10185):2030-2031.
2. Crone EA, Fuligni AJ. Self and others in adolescence. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2020 Jan 4;71:447-469.
3. Nesi J, Choukas-Bradley S, Prinstein MJ. Transformation of adolescent peer relations in the social media context: Part 1—A theoretical framework and application to dyadic peer relationships. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*. 2018 Sep;21(3):267-294.
4. Twenge JM, Joiner TE, Rogers ML, et al. Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among U.S. adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2018 Jan;6(1):3-17.
5. Keles B, McCrae N, Grealish A. A systematic review: the influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. *Int J Adolesc Youth*. 2020 Jan 2;25(1):79-93.
6. Weinstein E. The social media see-saw: Positive and negative influences on adolescents' affective well-being. *New Media Soc*. 2018 Nov;20(10):3597-3623.
7. Uhls YT, Ellison NB, Subrahmanyam K. Benefits and costs of social media in adolescence. *Pediatrics*. 2017 Nov;140(Supplement\_2):S67-S70.
8. Davis K. Coming of age online: The developmental underpinnings of girls' blogs. *J Adolesc Res*. 2010 Mar;25(1):145-171.
9. Michikyan M, Subrahmanyam K, Dennis J. Can you tell who I am? Neuroticism, extraversion, and online self-presentation among young adults. *Comput Human Behav*. 2014 Feb;33:179-183.
10. Craig SL, McInroy LB, McCreedy LT, et al. Connecting without fear: Clinical implications of the consumption of information and communication technologies by sexual minority youth and young adults. *Clin Soc Work J*. 2015 Jun;43:159-168.
11. Fox J, Ralston R. Queer identity online: Informal learning and teaching experiences of LGBTQ individuals on social media. *Comput Human Behav*. 2016 Apr;65:635-642.
12. Bazarova NN, Choi YH. Self-disclosure in social media: Extending the functional approach to disclosure motivations and characteristics on social network sites. *J Commun*. 2014 Aug;64(4):635-657.
13. Reich SM, Subrahmanyam K, Espinoza G. Friending, IMing, and hanging out face-to-face: overlap in adolescents' online and offline social networks. *Dev Psychol*. 2012 Mar;48(2):356-368.
14. Manago AM, Graham MB, Greenfield PM, et al. Self-presentation and gender on MySpace. *J Appl Dev Psychol*. 2008 Nov;29(6):446-458.
15. Valkenburg PM, Schouten AP, Peter J. Adolescents' identity experiments on the internet. *New Media Soc*. 2005 Jun;7(3):383-402.
16. Marwick AE, Boyd D. I tweet honestly, I tweet passionately: Twitter users, context collapse, and the imagined audience. *New Media Soc*. 2011 Feb;13(1):114-133.
17. Sherman LE, Payton AA, Hernandez LM, et al. The power of the like in adolescence: Effects of peer influence on neural and behavioral responses to social media. *Psychol Sci*. 2016 Jul;27(7):1027-1035.
18. Burrow AL, Rainone N. How many likes did I get?: Purpose moderates links between positive social media feedback and self-esteem. *J Exp Soc Psychol*. 2017 May;69:232-236.
19. Liu D, Baumeister RF, Yang CC, et al. Digital communication media use and psychological well-being: A meta-analysis. *J Comput-Mediat Comm*. 2019 Sep;24(5):259-273.
20. Reinecke L, Trepte S. Authenticity and well-being on social network sites: A two-wave longitudinal study on the effects of online authenticity and the positivity bias in SNS communication. *Comput Human Behav*. 2014 Jun;30:95-102.
21. Boulianne S, Lalancette M, Ilkiw D. "School strike 4 climate": social media and the international youth protest on climate change. *Media Commun*. 2020 Apr 16;8(2):208-218.
22. Vogel EA, Rose JP, Roberts LR, et al. Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychol Pop Media Cult*. 2014 Oct;3(4):206-222.
23. Fardouly J, Vartanian LR. Social media and body image concerns: Current research and future directions. *Curr Opin Psychol*. 2016 Jun;9:1-5.
24. Saiphoo AN, Vahedi Z. A meta-analytic review of the relationship between social media use and body image disturbance. *Comput Human Behav*. 2019 Feb;101:259-275.
25. Fioravanti G, Bocci Benucci S, Ceragioli G, et al. How the exposure to beauty ideals on social networking sites influences body image: A systematic review of experimental studies. *Adolesc Res Rev*. 2022 Sep;7:419-458.
26. Alt D, Boniel-Nissim M. Links between adolescents' deep and surface learning approaches, problematic internet use, and fear of missing out (FoMO). *Internet Interv*. 2018 Mar;13:30-39.

27. John A, Glendenning AC, Marchant A, et al. Self-harm, suicidal behaviours, and cyberbullying in children and young people: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2018 Apr 23;20(4):e129.
28. Liu QQ, Yang XJ, Hu YT, et al. How and when is family dysfunction associated with adolescent mobile phone addiction? Testing a moderated mediation model. *Child Youth Serv Rev*. 2020 Feb;111:104827.
29. Verduyn P, Ybarra O, Résibois M, et al. Do social network sites enhance or undermine subjective well-being? A critical review. *Soc Issues Policy Rev*. 2017 Jan;11(1):274-302.
30. Kross E, Verduyn P, Demiralp E, et al. Facebook use predicts declines in subjective well-being in young adults. *PLoS One*. 2013 Aug 14;8(8):e69841.
31. Sherlock M, Wagstaff DL. Exploring the relationship between frequency of Instagram use, exposure to idealized images, and psychological well-being in women. *Psychol Pop Media Cult*. 2019 Oct;8(4):482-490.
32. Pittman M, Reich B. Social media and loneliness: Why an Instagram picture may be worth more than a thousand Twitter words. *Comput Human Behav*. 2016 Oct;62:155-167.
33. Nesi J, Prinstein MJ. Using social media for social comparison and feedback-seeking: Gender and popularity moderate associations with depressive symptoms. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*. 2015 Nov;43(8):1427-1438.
34. Heffer T, Good M, Daly O, et al. The longitudinal association between social-media use and depressive symptoms among adolescents and young adults: An empirical reply to Twenge et al. (2018). *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2019 May;7(3):462-470.
35. van Driel II, Pouwels JL, Beyens I, et al. 'Posting, Scrolling, Chatting & Snapping': Youth (14–15) and social media in 2019. University of Amsterdam; 2019.
36. Domoff SE, Borgen AL, Foley RP, et al. Effects of parental scaffolding on adolescents' self-regulation and coping with social media. *J Adolesc*. 2019 Dec;77:13-23.
37. Odgers CL, Jensen MR. Annual Research Review: Adolescent mental health in the digital age: facts, fears, and future directions. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2020 Mar;61(3):336-348.
38. Orben A, Przybylski AK. The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2019 Feb;3(2):173-182.
39. Zuboff S. *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. New York: PublicAffairs; 2019.
40. Weinstein E. Adolescents' differential responses to social media browsing: Exploring causes and consequences for intervention. *Comput Human Behav*. 2017 Nov;76:396-405.
41. Beyens I, Pouwels JL, van Driel II, et al. The effect of social media on the well-being differs from adolescent to adolescent. *Sci Rep*. 2020 Jul 1;10(1):10763.
42. Rader E, Gray R. Understanding user beliefs about algorithmic curation in the Facebook news feed. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2015 Apr 18-23; Seoul, Republic of Korea. New York: ACM; 2015. p. 173-182.
43. Sherman LE, Greenfield PM, Hernandez LM, et al. Peer influence via instagram: Effects on brain and behavior in adolescence and young adulthood. *Child Dev*. 2018 Jan;89(1):37-47.
44. Chaudhary N, Yebei VM. The digital lives of adolescents in non-WEIRD contexts: Current evidence and future directions. *Curr Opin Psychol*. 2022 Oct;47:101426.
45. Information Commissioner's Office. Age appropriate design: a code of practice for online services [Internet]. Wilmslow: ICO; 2020 [cited 2024 Apr 15]. Available from: <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/ico-codes-of-practice/age-appropriate-design-a-code-of-practice-for-online-services/>